



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. B-4325 619126-5 5356 09/972,574 10/04/2001 Masato Sakamoto

7590

09/29/2004

LADAS & PARRY Suite # 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679 **EXAMINER** 

PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2653

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No.      | Applicant(s)    |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/972,574           | SAKAMOTO ET AL. |
| Examiner             | Art Unit        |
| Aristotelis M Psitos | 2653            |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

| final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  |
|--|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |
| (c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE:  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |
| Claim(s) objected to: 5,6,9 and 10.  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,8 and 11</u> .   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |
| 10. Other:   |
| $\mathcal{A}_{0}$  |
| Aristotelis M Psitos ( ] \   |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2653

Items 2a and b continued: The proposed amendment includes duplicate language, newly introduced wherein limitations of lines 24-27 duplicate those of lines 20-23, and those of lines 30-31 duplicate those of lines 28-29 as well as those of claims 7,8,9 and 10. This complicates matters (proper interpretation of the claims is not possible), as well as failing to reduce any outstanding issues, since such amendment introduces the above noted problem.

Continuation of item 3. The examiner maintains the provisionally double patenting rejection for the reasons of record. The examiner understands the pending nature of the other application, hence it is a PROVISIONAL double patenting rejection. With respect to lack of motivation, the examiner has provided reasons for modification.

Upon reconsideration of the limitation with respect to the multiplication, since the means as disclosed in not taught/disclosed in the cited prior art, claims drawn to such limitations are no longer rejected, but objected to.

With respect to the rejection predicated upon Hamaguchi et al, since the above amendment has not been entered, the rejection predication thereo is maintained.